

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D.	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/620,043	07/15/2003		Donald D. Foster	66179-41542	7526
21888	7590 01/12/2005		EXAMINER		
THOMPSO	N COBURN,	LLP	CARTAGENA, MELVIN A		
ONE US BA	NK PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS, MO 63101				3754	
				DATE MAIL ED. 01/12/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/620,043	FOSTER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Melvin A. Cartagena	3754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· —						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 10-14, 18-20 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,816,453 to Spencer et al.

Spencer shows a manually operated dispensing pump, as seen in Fig. 1, a housing 1 containing a pump chamber 120 having a center axis, a liquid discharge passage 23 on a plunger 2 and in communication with the pump chamber, a liquid discharge orifice 74 having a center axis coaxial with the center axis of the pump chamber axis, a nozzle 73, the plunger 2 reciprocating relative to the housing along a straight line parallel with the pump chamber center axis and having a finger engagement surface 71, a hand engagement surface 17 and a liquid supply passage 116.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 15-17, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,816,453 to Spencer et al. in view of US 4,589,573 to Tada.

Page 3

Spencer shows all claimed features as discussed above except for a resilient bulb with integrally formed input and output valves connected to the pump chamber. Tada shows a resilient bulb 26 with integrally formed input and output valves 35 and 32 respectively, connected to the pump chamber as seen in Fig. 1. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Spenser by substituting the conventional head plunger for a resilient bulb with integrally formed valves as taught by Tada to reduce the number of components to simplify assembly and reduce production cost, see column 1, lines 29-33.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bundschuh shows a pump dispenser with one-piece stretchable biasing member and valve. Paterson shows a collapsible pump chamber having predetermined collapsing pattern.

Akers shows a dispensing pump for container. Micallef shows a pump actuating system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin A. Cartagena whose telephone number is (571) 272-4924. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30AM to 4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,043

Art Unit: 3754

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAC 01/05/05

MAC

Gene Mancene Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

Lordmanare